

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/207,143	12/08/1998	DON HIDEYASU MATSUBAYASHI	36J.P170	6391	
5514	7590 01/17/2003				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER		
			POON, KING Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT-	TA	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09207143				
			EXAMINER	
			Poon	
		AR	T UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		á	1624	
•		DATE M	AILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

⋈ тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🔀	is extended to rueer continues to run from the date of the final rejection
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
□ AF	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/22/2002 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🔀	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
•	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Newly added limitations of "sending for the executable program" found in claim 1. "wherein the controller executable program" found in claim 5, "memory medium" found in claim 11, race new issues that whomeed inquire futher consideration and for search.
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 🖼 will not be entered and the status of the claims will
	Claims allowed: 1/
	Claims objected to:
	However;
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	The attidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The	e proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
□ Ot	ner Lauria

GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER